MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

September 8, 2008

DIVISION ONE

B200669 Bates (Not for Publication)

v.

Buratti et al.

The judgment and order are affirmed. Respondents are awarded their costs on appeal.

Rothschild, J.

We concur: Mallano, P.J.

Neidorf, J. (Assigned)

DIVISION TWO

B196923 Haroonian (Not for Publication)

v.

Harooni

The appeal from the order of August 7, 2006, is dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because it was untimely filed. The trial court's order of November 6, 2006, is affirmed. Appellant Cyrus Haroonian to bear all costs on appeal.

Boren, P.J.

We concur: Ashmann-Gerst, J.

Chavez, J.

September 8, 2008 (Continued)

DIVISION TWO (continued)

B196641 Sitara Management Corporation et al. (Not for Publication)

v.

Equilon Enterprises LLC et al.

The judgment is affirmed.

Boren, P.J.

We concur: Doi Todd, J.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

DIVISION FIVE

B203840 People (Certified for Publication)

v.

David V.

The judgment is affirmed.

Armstrong, J.

We concur: Turner, P.J.

Kriegler, J.

B206364 People (Not for Publication)

v.

Anyla Lazenby

The appeal is dismissed.

Turner, P.J.

We concur: Armstrong, J.

Kriegler, J.

September 8, 2008 (Continued)

DIVISION SEVEN

B197107 Union Venture, LLC, (Not for Publication)

v.

ADIR International Export Ltd.,

The judgment is affirmed. La Curacao is to recover its costs on appeal.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Woods, J.

Zelon, J.

DIVISION EIGHT

B199593 Dang (Not for Publication)

v.

Unified Vietnamese Community Council et al.

The appeal is dismissed.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Rubin, J.

Flier, J.

B199991 Green (Not for Publication)

V.

Lamb

The denial of the motion to amend the judgment is affirmed.

Cooper, P.J.

I concur: Flier, J.

I concur: Rubin, J. (opinion)

September 8, 2008 (Continued)

DIVISION EIGHT (continued)

B203192 Los Angeles County, D.C F.S. (Not for Publication)

V.

Nelson N.,

In re Y.S., a Person Coming Under the Juvenile Court Law.

The portion of the juvenile court's order denying father reunification services is reversed. In all other respects, the juvenile court's order is affirmed. The case is remanded to the juvenile court. The juvenile court shall apply the appropriate standard, considering the best interest of Y.S. and determine if father is entitled to reunification services.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Rubin, J.

Flier, J.